

saul kripke naming and necessity

Saul Kripke Naming and Necessity: Unlocking the Philosophy of Language and Metaphysics

saul kripke naming and necessity is a landmark contribution to philosophy that reshaped how we think about language, identity, and modality. When Kripke published his groundbreaking lectures in the 1970s, later compiled as **Naming and Necessity**, he challenged long-standing views in analytic philosophy, especially those concerning how names refer to objects and the nature of necessity and possibility. This revolutionary work continues to influence not only philosophers of language but also metaphysicians and logicians today.

Understanding Saul Kripke's Impact on Philosophy

Saul Kripke emerged as one of the most influential philosophers of the 20th century due to his innovative approach to semantics and modality. Before Kripke, philosophers largely followed descriptivist theories of naming, which suggested that a name's meaning is equivalent to a description associated with the object. Kripke's arguments, however, overturned this by introducing the concept of rigid designators and causal theories of reference.

The Descriptivist Theory vs. Kripke's Critique

The descriptivist theory, popularized by philosophers like Frege and Russell, held that proper names function like abbreviated definite descriptions. For example, the name "Aristotle" might stand for "the student of Plato and teacher of Alexander the Great." According to this view, knowing who Aristotle is involves knowing a set of descriptive attributes.

Kripke challenged this by showing that names are not synonymous with any bundle of descriptions. He argued that names rigidly designate the same object in every possible world where that object exists, regardless of whether the descriptions apply. This means that even if Aristotle had never taught Alexander the Great, the name "Aristotle" would still refer to the same individual.

Key Concepts in Naming and Necessity

To fully appreciate Saul Kripke naming and necessity, it's important to unpack some of the key concepts he introduced.

Rigid Designators

One of Kripke's most influential ideas is the notion of a rigid designator. A rigid designator refers to the same entity in every possible world where that entity exists. Unlike definite descriptions, which can refer to different things in different possible worlds, proper names are rigid designators.

For example, "Venus" rigidly designates the planet Venus in all possible worlds where Venus exists. If in some possible world Venus is not the brightest object in the night sky (unlike Earth's night sky), the name still refers to the same planet.

Causal Theory of Reference

Kripke proposed the causal theory of reference as an alternative to descriptivism. According to this theory, a name's reference is established by an initial "baptism" or naming event, where the object is linked to its name. This name is then passed along through a causal chain of communication among speakers.

This means that the meaning of a name is not derived from a set of descriptions but from this historical chain of usage. Even if people associated with the name have incomplete or inaccurate beliefs about the object, the name still refers to it because of the causal chain.

Necessary A Posteriori Truths

One of the most striking contributions of *Naming and Necessity* is the idea that some truths are necessarily true yet known only a posteriori (through experience). Traditional philosophy held that necessary truths are known a priori (independent of experience), while contingent truths are known a posteriori.

Kripke challenged this by showing that statements like "Water is H₂O" are necessarily true because water's chemical composition is identical across all possible worlds where water exists. However, this truth was discovered empirically, making it a necessary a posteriori truth. This insight has profound implications for metaphysics and the philosophy of science.

How Saul Kripke Naming and Necessity Changed the Philosophy of Language

Kripke's work brought clarity to many puzzles about meaning and reference that had vexed philosophers for decades.

Resolving the Puzzle of Identity

Before Kripke, identity statements such as "Hesperus is Phosphorus" (both referring to Venus) were puzzling because the names seemed to have different meanings. Kripke's framework explained that although the names are different, they rigidly designate the same object, making the identity statement necessarily true but informative.

Influence on Modal Logic and Metaphysics

Kripke's insights significantly influenced modal logic by clarifying how necessity and possibility operate in language. By distinguishing between rigid and non-rigid designators, philosophers could better analyze modal statements about what must or might be true.

Moreover, in metaphysics, the idea that objects have essential properties—properties they have in every possible world where they exist—became more robust. This essentialism owes much to Kripke's arguments about rigid designation and necessity.

Practical Implications and Continuing Debates

The impact of Saul Kripke naming and necessity extends beyond academic philosophy. It influences linguistics, cognitive science, artificial intelligence, and legal theory, where understanding reference and meaning is crucial.

Applications in Linguistics and Cognitive Science

In linguistics, Kripke's causal theory informs how we think about language acquisition and communication, especially how children learn names and how reference is maintained across contexts. Cognitive scientists also draw on these ideas to explore how individuals conceptualize objects and categories.

Ongoing Philosophical Discussions

While Kripke's theories resolved many issues, they also opened new debates. For example:

- **Metaphysical Essentialism:** Some philosophers question the extent to which objects have essential properties and whether Kripke's approach can be applied universally.
- **Reference and Fictional Entities:** How do names refer to fictional or non-existent objects within Kripke's framework?
- **The Limits of Rigid Designation:** Can the notion of rigid designation be extended beyond proper names to natural kind terms or other expressions?

These questions continue to inspire research and discussion, demonstrating the enduring vitality of Kripke's work.

Tips for Engaging with Saul Kripke Naming and Necessity

If you're diving into the study of Kripke's *'Naming and Necessity'*, here are some helpful approaches:

1. **Start with the Text:** Reading the original lectures or accessible summaries helps grasp the core arguments directly from Kripke's perspective.
2. **Compare Philosophical Theories:** Contrast Kripke's causal theory with descriptivist and other semantic theories to appreciate the differences fully.
3. **Apply to Examples:** Use everyday examples, like names of people, places, or scientific terms, to see how rigid designation and necessity operate.
4. **Explore Related Fields:** Look into modal logic and metaphysics to understand the broader philosophical context of Kripke's ideas.

Engaging actively with these concepts enriches your understanding and reveals the depth of Kripke's contribution to philosophy.

Exploring Saul Kripke naming and necessity is like opening a door to a new way of thinking about language and reality. It challenges us to reconsider how words connect with the world and how truths about identity and necessity shape our understanding of everything around us.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is Saul Kripke's main contribution in 'Naming and Necessity'?

Saul Kripke's main contribution in 'Naming and Necessity' is his challenge to descriptivist theories of reference, introducing the causal theory of reference and the notion of rigid designators, which revolutionized the philosophy of language and metaphysics.

What are rigid designators according to Kripke?

Rigid designators are terms that refer to the same object in every possible world in which that object exists, as opposed to non-rigid or accidental designators that can refer to different things in different possible worlds.

How does Kripke distinguish between epistemic and

metaphysical necessity?

Kripke distinguishes epistemic necessity (what is knowable a priori) from metaphysical necessity (what is true in all possible worlds). He argues that some statements are metaphysically necessary yet known only a posteriori, such as identity statements involving rigid designators.

What is the causal theory of reference proposed by Kripke?

The causal theory of reference suggests that a name's reference is fixed by an initial 'baptism' and then passed along through a causal chain of communication, rather than being defined by a set of descriptive properties.

Why does Kripke reject the descriptivist theory of names?

Kripke rejects the descriptivist theory because it fails to account for how names rigidly refer to the same object across possible worlds and how speakers can refer to objects even if they do not know the associated descriptions.

How does 'Naming and Necessity' impact the philosophy of mind?

'Naming and Necessity' impacts the philosophy of mind by influencing discussions on the nature of mental content and identity, particularly through Kripke's arguments against type-identity theories and for the necessity of identity statements involving mental states.

What is an example of an a posteriori necessary truth discussed by Kripke?

An example is 'Water is H₂O.' According to Kripke, this statement is necessarily true because water is essentially H₂O in all possible worlds, yet it is known through empirical investigation, making it an a posteriori necessary truth.

How did 'Naming and Necessity' change analytic philosophy?

'Naming and Necessity' transformed analytic philosophy by challenging established theories of meaning and reference, introducing new concepts like rigid designation and causal reference, and reshaping debates in metaphysics, epistemology, and the philosophy of language.

Additional Resources

Saul Kripke Naming and Necessity: A Landmark in Analytic Philosophy

saul kripke naming and necessity represents a pivotal contribution to analytic philosophy and the philosophy of language. Published originally as a series of lectures in 1970 and later as a book in 1980, "Naming and Necessity" revolutionized how philosophers understand reference, meaning, and modality. Kripke's work challenged long-standing descriptivist theories of naming and introduced groundbreaking ideas about rigid designators, necessity, and a posteriori knowledge. This article

examines the core arguments in Kripke's "Naming and Necessity," its influence on contemporary philosophy, and its ongoing relevance in debates about language and metaphysics.

Understanding the Core Concepts of Naming and Necessity

At the heart of Kripke's "Naming and Necessity" lies a critique of the descriptivist theory of proper names, a dominant view popularized by philosophers such as Frege and Russell. According to descriptivism, a proper name is essentially shorthand for a bundle of descriptions associated with the object it names. For example, "Aristotle" might be understood as "the teacher of Alexander the Great" or "the author of *Metaphysics*." Kripke argued that this approach fails to account for the stability and necessity of reference.

Instead, Kripke proposed the causal theory of reference, where a name's connection to its referent is not mediated by descriptive content but by an initial "baptism" and a causal chain of communication. This theory posits that names are rigid designators—terms that refer to the same object in every possible world in which that object exists. This contrasts with definite descriptions, which can refer to different entities depending on the circumstances.

The Notion of Rigid Designators

One of Kripke's most influential contributions is the concept of rigid designators. A rigid designator refers to the same object in every possible world where that object exists, as opposed to non-rigid or flaccid designators, which can refer to different entities in different possible worlds. For example, the name "Saul Kripke" rigidly designates the philosopher himself across all conceivable scenarios, while a description like "the author of *Naming and Necessity*" might pick out different individuals in hypothetical worlds.

This insight reshaped discussions about necessity and contingency. If a name is rigid, then statements about the referent of that name can be necessarily true, even if known a posteriori (through experience). This challenged the traditional alignment of necessity with a priori knowledge and contingency with a posteriori knowledge.

Impact on Theories of Reference and Meaning

Kripke's arguments significantly influenced how philosophers think about linguistic meaning and reference. By undermining descriptivism, Kripke opened the door for a more direct understanding of how language connects with the world. His causal theory emphasizes the social and historical dimensions of naming, where the transmission of a name through a community maintains its reference.

Comparisons with Descriptivism and Other Theories

To appreciate Kripke's innovation, it is essential to compare his causal theory with descriptivism and other competing theories:

- **Descriptivism:** Holds that the meaning of a name is equivalent to a cluster of descriptions. This can lead to ambiguity and reference failure if none of the descriptions uniquely identify an individual.
- **Causal Theory:** Argues that a name's reference is fixed by an initial baptism and preserved through a causal chain of communication, independent of descriptive content.
- **Millian Theory:** Similar to Kripke's view, it asserts that a proper name's meaning is simply its referent.

Kripke's rejection of descriptivism helped clarify problems such as reference failure and the rigidity of proper names, thus influencing developments in semantics and the philosophy of language.

Modal Logic and the Nature of Necessity

"Naming and Necessity" also delves deeply into modal logic—the logic concerning possibility and necessity. Kripke used possible world semantics to articulate his views, distinguishing between metaphysical necessity and epistemic necessity.

A Posteriori Necessity and Its Philosophical Significance

Kripke famously argued for the existence of necessary truths knowable only a posteriori, challenging the classical view that all necessary truths must be knowable a priori. For example, the statement "Water is H₂O" is necessarily true if true at all, but this truth was discovered empirically rather than through pure reason.

This insight has profound implications:

1. **Epistemology:** It reshapes how we think about knowledge acquisition and the distinction between analytical and synthetic truths.
2. **Metaphysics:** It influences debates on identity and essence, suggesting that certain identities are metaphysically necessary even if discovered through experience.
3. **Philosophy of Language:** It affects how semantic content relates to truth conditions and reference.

Criticisms and Ongoing Debates

While Kripke's "Naming and Necessity" is widely celebrated, it has also faced criticism and sparked debate.

Challenges to the Causal Theory

Some philosophers argue that the causal chain may not be sufficient to fix reference in all cases. For example, critics point to problems with:

- **Reference Fixing:** How exactly is the initial baptism performed, and can it truly secure reference?
- **Multiple Referents:** In ambiguous or mistaken baptisms, how does the causal chain maintain correct reference?
- **Descriptive Content:** Some argue that descriptions still play a role in understanding what a speaker means by a name.

These challenges have led to refinements and hybrid theories that attempt to combine causal and descriptive elements.

Extensions and Influence in Contemporary Philosophy

Kripke's insights have inspired extensive research in linguistic semantics, metaphysics, and epistemology. The rigid designator concept, for instance, has become a fundamental tool in modal metaphysics. Additionally, his work has influenced cognitive science, artificial intelligence, and legal theory, where the precision of reference and naming is crucial.

Conclusion

Saul Kripke's "Naming and Necessity" remains a cornerstone in the philosophy of language and metaphysics. By challenging descriptivism and introducing the causal theory of reference alongside the revolutionary ideas of rigid designators and a posteriori necessity, Kripke reshaped philosophical inquiry on meaning and modality. His work continues to provoke thought and debate, underscoring the dynamic relationship between language, knowledge, and reality.

Saul Kripke Naming And Necessity

saul kripke naming and necessity: Naming and Necessity Saul A. Kripke, 1980 If there is such a thing as essential reading in metaphysics or in philosophy of language, this is it. Ever since the publication of its original version, Naming and Necessity has had great and increasing influence. It redirected philosophical attention to neglected questions of natural and metaphysical necessity and to the connections between these and theories of reference, in particular of naming, and of identity. From a critique of the dominant tendency to assimilate names to descriptions and more generally to treat their reference as a function of their Fregean sense, surprisingly deep and widespread consequences may be drawn. The largely discredited distinction between accidental and essential properties, both of individual things (including people) and of kinds of things, is revived. So is a consequent view of science as what seeks out the essences of natural kinds. Traditional objections to such views are dealt with by sharpening distinctions between epistemic and metaphysical necessity; in particular by the startling admission of necessary a posteriori truths. From these, in particular from identity statements using rigid designators whether of things or of kinds, further remarkable consequences are drawn for the natures of things, of people, and of kinds; strong objections follow, for example to identity versions of materialism as a theory of the mind. This seminal work, to which today's thriving essentialist metaphysics largely owes its impetus, is here published with a substantial new Preface by the author.

saul kripke naming and necessity: Naming and Necessity Saul A. Kripke, 1980 Naming and Necessity has had a great and increasing influence. It redirected philosophical attention to neglected questions of natural and metaphysical necessity and to the connections between these and theories of naming, and of identity. This seminal work, to which today's thriving essentialist metaphysics largely owes its impetus, is here reissued in a newly corrected form with a new preface by the author. If there is such a thing as essential reading in metaphysics, or in philosophy of language, this is it

saul kripke naming and necessity: Naming, Necessity and More Jonathan Berg, 2014-12-03 Saul Kripke's Naming and Necessity was one of the most influential philosophical works of the twentieth century. In this collection of essays leading specialists explore issues arising from this and other works of Kripke's.

saul kripke naming and necessity: Naming and Necessity Saul A. Kripke, 2024-07-03 NAMING AND NECESSITY "Much of the philosophical work of the last half-century could not have existed without Naming and Necessity. If you read this marvelous book, you'll find out why." David Chalmers, University Professor of Philosophy and Neural Science and co-director of the Center for Mind, Brain, and Consciousness, NYU "Naming and Necessity represents a turning-point in the development of modern philosophy. It showed how confusions in the philosophy of language had blocked progress in metaphysics, and it provided a model of systematic philosophical theorizing informed by innovations in logic." Timothy Williamson, Wykeham Professor of Logic Emeritus, Oxford University "Naming and Necessity is, arguably, the most important philosophical work in the last 100 years, with major implications for logic, metaphysics, epistemology, and our understanding of language. By showing that meaning, modality, and cognition aren't 'in the head,' but rather are constituted by our relations with people and things, Kripke reinvigorated philosophy by shining new light on reference, essence, necessity, and apriority." Scott Soames, Distinguished Professor of Philosophy at the University of Southern California "Kripke's Naming and Necessity was a game-changer in English-speaking philosophy, giving birth to a new era of debates in metaphysics, semantics, and epistemology. 50 years later, there is still much to be learned from the book, and this anniversary edition is just the thing to take us back to the text and see it with new eyes." Graham Priest, Distinguished Professor of Philosophy, the CUNY Graduate Center, and Boyce Gibson Professor Emeritus, the University of Melbourne Since first appearing in 1972, Saul Kripke's Naming and Necessity has shaped and continues to shape debates in metaphysics, the philosophy of

language, and adjacent areas. It overturned long-established views concerning the relationships between names and descriptions and a priority and necessity, and catalyzed today's thriving essentialist metaphysics. This landmark work is here reissued for its 50th anniversary with a new preface by the author revisiting its central themes, and a new foreword by Romina Birman, Director of the Saul Kripke Center. If there is such a thing as essential reading in analytic philosophy, this is it.

saul kripke naming and necessity: *Philosophy of Language* Susana Nuccetelli, Gary Seay, 2008 This collection of classic and contemporary essays in philosophy of language offers a concise introduction to the field for students in graduate and upper-division undergraduate courses. It includes some of the most important basic sources in philosophy of language, as well as new essays by scholars on the leading edge of innovation in this increasingly influential area of philosophy. Each chapter is preceded the editors' introduction.

saul kripke naming and necessity: *Readings in the Philosophy of Language* Peter Ludlow, 1997 A central theme of this collection is that the philosophy of language, at least a core portion of it, has matured to the point where it is now being spun off into linguistic theory.

saul kripke naming and necessity: *The Structure of Thinking* Laura E. Weed, 2003 This book presents an alternative, naturalistic view of human thinking, arguing that computers are merely sophisticated machines.

saul kripke naming and necessity: *Routledge Philosophy GuideBook to Kripke and Naming and Necessity* Harold Noonan, 2014-05-09 Saul Kripke is one of the most important philosophers of the twentieth century. His most celebrated work, *Naming and Necessity*, makes arguably the most important contribution to the philosophy of language and metaphysics in recent years. Asking fundamental questions – how do names refer to things in the world? Do objects have essential properties? What are natural kind terms and to what do they refer? – he challenges prevailing theories of language and conceptions of metaphysics, especially the descriptivist account of reference, which Kripke argues is found in Frege, Wittgenstein and Russell, and the anti-essentialist metaphysics of Quine. In this invaluable guidebook to Kripke's classic work, Harold Noonan introduces and assesses: Kripke's life and the background to his philosophy the ideas and text of *Naming and Necessity* the continuing importance of Kripke's work to the philosophy of language and metaphysics. The *Routledge Philosophy GuideBook to Kripke and Naming and Necessity* is an ideal starting point for anyone coming Kripke's work for the first time. It is essential reading for philosophy students studying philosophy of language, metaphysics, logic, or the history of analytic philosophy.

saul kripke naming and necessity: *Kripke : Names, Necessity, and Identity* Christopher Hughes, 2004-01-15 Saul Kripke, in a series of classic writings of the 1960s and 1970s, changed the face of metaphysics and philosophy of language. Christopher Hughes offers a careful exposition and critical analysis of Kripke's central ideas about names, necessity, and identity. He clears up some common misunderstandings of Kripke's views on rigid designation, causality and reference, the necessary and the contingent, the a posteriori and the a priori. Through his engagement with Kripke's ideas Hughes makes a significant contribution to ongoing debates on, inter alia, the semantics of natural kind terms, the nature of natural kinds, the essentiality of origin and constitution, the relative merits of 'identitarian' and counterpart-theoretic accounts of modality, and the identity or otherwise of mental types and tokens with physical types and tokens. No specialist knowledge in either the philosophy of language or metaphysics is presupposed; Hughes's book will be valuable for anyone working on the ideas which Kripke made famous in the philosophy world.

saul kripke naming and necessity: *The Bounds of Freedom: Kant's Causal Theory of Action* Robert Greenberg, 2016-09-26 This monograph is a new interpretation of Kant's atemporal conception of the causality of the freedom of the will. The interpretation is based on an analysis of Kant's primary conception of an action, viz., as a causal consequence of the will. The analysis in turn is based on H. P. Grice's causal theory of perception and on P. F. Strawson's modification of the theory. The monograph rejects the customary assumption that Kant's maxim of an action is a causal

determination of the action. It assumes instead that the maxim is definitive of the action, and since its main thesis is that an action for Kant is to be primarily understood as an effect of the will, it concludes that the maxim of an action can only be its logical determination. Kant's atemporal conception of the causality of free will is confronted not only by contemporary philosophical conceptions of causality, but by Kant's own complementary theory of causality, in the Second Analogy of Experience. According to this latter conception, causality is a natural relation among physical and psychological objects, and is therefore a temporal relation among them. Faced with this conflict, Kant scholars like Allen W. Wood either reject Kant's atemporal conception of causality or like Henry E. Allison accept it, but only in an anodyne form. Both camps, however, make the aforementioned assumption that Kant's maxim of an action is a causal determination of the action. The monograph, rejecting the assumption, belongs to neither camp.

saul kripke naming and necessity: The Routledge Philosophy Guidebook to Kripke and Naming and Necessity Harold W. Noonan, 2013 Saul Kripke is one of the most important philosophers of the twentieth century. His most celebrated work, Naming and Necessity, makes arguably the most important contribution to the philosophy of language and metaphysics in recent years. Asking fundamental questions – how do names refer to things in the world? Do objects have essential properties? What are natural kind terms and to what do they refer? – he challenges prevailing theories of language and conceptions of metaphysics, especially the descriptivist account of reference, which Kripke argues is found in Frege, Wittgenstein and Russell, and the anti-essentialist metaphysics of Quine. In this invaluable guidebook to Kripke's classic work, Harold Noonan introduces and assesses: Kripke's life and the background to his philosophy the ideas and text of Naming and Necessity the continuing importance of Kripke's work to the philosophy of language and metaphysics. The Routledge Philosophy GuideBook to Kripke and Naming and Necessity is an ideal starting point for anyone coming Kripke's work for the first time. It is essential reading for philosophy students studying philosophy of language, metaphysics, logic, or the history of analytic philosophy.

saul kripke naming and necessity: The Ashtray Errol Morris, 2023-02-17 In 1972, philosopher of science Thomas Kuhn threw an ashtray at Errol Morris. This book is the result. At the time, Morris was a graduate student. Now we know him as one of the most celebrated and restlessly probing filmmakers of our time, the creator of such classics of documentary investigation as The Thin Blue Line and The Fog of War. Kuhn, meanwhile, was--and, posthumously, remains--a star in his field, the author of The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, a landmark book that has sold well over a million copies and introduced the concept of paradigm shifts to the larger culture. And Morris thought the idea was bunk. The Ashtray tells why--and in doing so, it makes a powerful case for Morris's way of viewing the world, and the centrality to that view of a fundamental conception of the necessity of truth. For me, Morris writes, truth is about the relationship between language and the world: a correspondence idea of truth. He has no patience for philosophical systems that aim for internal coherence and disdain the world itself. Morris is after bigger game: he wants to establish as clearly as possible what we know and can say about the world, reality, history, our actions and interactions. It's the fundamental desire that animates his filmmaking, whether he's probing Robert McNamara about Vietnam or the oddball owner of a pet cemetery. Truth may be slippery, but that doesn't mean we have to grease its path of escape through philosophical evasions. Rather, Morris argues powerfully, it is our duty to do everything we can to establish and support it.

saul kripke naming and necessity: Reference and Existence Saul A. Kripke, 2013-06-06 This volume collects Saul Kripke's Locke Lectures, which were delivered in Oxford in 1973.

saul kripke naming and necessity: Central Works of Philosophy v5 John Shand, 2014-12-18 Central Works of Philosophy is a major multi-volume collection of essays on the core texts of the Western philosophical tradition. From Plato's Republic to the present day, the five volumes range over 2,500 years of philosophical writing covering the best, most representative, and most influential work of some of our greatest philosophers. Each essay has been specially commissioned and provides an overview of the work, clear and authoritative exposition of its central

ideas, and an assessment of the work's importance. Together these books provide an unrivaled companion for studying and reading philosophy, one that introduces the reader to the masterpieces of the western philosophical canon. This volume covers the central texts in the history of analytic philosophy from Quine's *Word and Object* (1960) to the present day. The texts range over political philosophy, ethics, metaphysics and the philosophies of language, mind and logic and represent some of the most important philosophical work of the last forty years. Students and non-specialists who may find the technicality of some of the texts forbidding will welcome the clarity of exposition and exegesis that the essays provide. Taken together the essays provide both a map and compass for the current philosophical landscape and will prove a valuable resource not only for undergraduate and postgraduate philosophy students but for teachers and researchers in allied disciplines who need an understanding of the preoccupations of contemporary philosophy.

saul kripke naming and necessity: Philosophical Troubles Saul A. Kripke, 2011-11-10 This important new book is the first of a series of volumes collecting the essential articles by the eminent and highly influential philosopher Saul A. Kripke. It presents a mixture of published and unpublished articles from various stages of Kripke's storied career.

saul kripke naming and necessity: The Routledge Companion to Twentieth Century Philosophy Dermot Moran, 2008-10-27 Featuring twenty-two chapters written by leading international scholars, this major publication covers all the key figures and movements from Frege to Derrida and philosophy of language to feminist philosophy.

saul kripke naming and necessity: Saul Kripke G. W. Fitch, 2004 Saul Kripke is one of the most original and creative philosophers writing today. His work has had a tremendous impact on the direction that philosophy has taken in the last thirty years and continues to dominate some of its most fundamental aspects. Given Kripke's importance it is perhaps surprising that there is no introduction to his philosophy available to the general student. This book fills that gap. As much of Kripke's work is highly technical, the book's central aim is to provide clear exposition of Kripke's ideas in a form that is understandable to a beginning readership as well as a commentary on them that more advanced students will find useful. The book begins with a discussion of Kripke's early work on modal logic, which provides the foundation for many of his later philosophical contributions, before examining in detail Kripke's central ideas and arguments contained in *Naming and Necessity*. These include his account of ordinary proper names, his theory of reference, his conception of necessity and the nature of identity, his views on theoretical identifications, the puzzle of belief, and his argument against materialism. In further chapters Kripke's work on semantic paradoxes and his theory of truth are outlined as well as his controversial interpretation of Wittgenstein's famous private language argument. Kripke's ideas are situated alongside those of his precursors and some of the most important and interesting responses to them are explored. The reader is thus able to appreciate the path-breaking nature of Kripke's contributions, how they have challenged fundamentally traditional interpretations, and how they have sparked some of the most important philosophical debates of recent years. Book jacket.

saul kripke naming and necessity: A Critical Introduction to the Philosophy of Language John Fennell, 2019-02-18 A Critical Introduction to Philosophy of Language is a historically oriented introduction to the central themes in philosophy of language. Its narrative arc covers Locke's 'idea' theory, Mill's empiricist account of math and logic, Frege and Russell's development of modern logic and its subsequent deployment in their pioneering program of 'logical analysis', Ayer and Carnap's logical positivism, Quine's critique of logical positivism and elaboration of a naturalist-behaviorist approach to meaning, and later-Wittgenstein's 'ordinary language philosophy'-inspired rejection of the project of logical analysis. Thus, it historically situates the two central programs in early twentieth-century English-speaking philosophy -- logical analysis and logical positivism -- and discusses the central critiques they face later in the century in the works of Quine and the later-Wittgenstein. Unlike other secondary studies in philosophy of language, A Critical Introduction to Philosophy of Language is not just a 'greatest hits album', i.e., a discontinuous compilation in which classics in the field are presented together with their standard

criticisms one after the other. Instead, Fennell develops a particular, historical-thematic narrative in which the figures and ideas he treats are introduced in highly intentional ways. And by cross-referencing them throughout his discussions, he highlights the contributions they make to the narrative they comprise.

saul kripke naming and necessity: A General Theory of Visual Culture Whitney Davis, 2011 What is cultural about vision--or visual about culture? In this ambitious book, Whitney Davis provides new answers to these difficult and important questions by presenting an original framework for understanding visual culture. Grounded in the theoretical traditions of art history, *A General Theory of Visual Culture* argues that, in a fully consolidated visual culture, artifacts and pictures have been made to be seen in a certain way; what Davis calls *visuality* is the visual perspective from which certain culturally constituted aspects of artifacts and pictures are visible to informed viewers. In this book, Davis provides a systematic analysis of *visuality* and describes how it comes into being as a historical form of vision. Expansive in scope, *A General Theory of Visual Culture* draws on art history, aesthetics, the psychology of perception, the philosophy of reference, and vision science, as well as visual-cultural studies in history, sociology, and anthropology. It provides penetrating new definitions of form, style, and iconography, and draws important and sometimes surprising conclusions (for example, that vision does not always attain to visual culture, and that visual culture is not always wholly visible). The book uses examples from a variety of cultural traditions, from prehistory to the twentieth century, to support a theory designed to apply to all human traditions of making artifacts and pictures--that is, to visual culture as a worldwide phenomenon.

saul kripke naming and necessity: Central Works of Philosophy, Volume 5 John Shand, 2006-06-12 Ranging over 2,500 years of philosophical writing, this five-volume collection of essays is an unrivalled companion to the study and reading of philosophy. *Central Works of Philosophy* provides both an overview of particular works and clear and authoritative expositions of their central ideas, giving readers the resources and confidence to read the works themselves. These books offer remarkable insights into the ideas out of which our present ways of thinking emerged and without which they cannot fully be understood.

Related to saul kripke naming and necessity

Saul - Wikipedia Saul (/ sɔːl /; Hebrew: שָׁאֻל, Šā'ûl; Greek: Σαούλ, Saoúl; transl. "asked/prayed for") was a monarch of ancient Israel and Judah and, according to the Hebrew Bible and Old Testament,

Saul | Israel's First King & Biblical Ruler | Britannica Saul was the first king of Israel (c. 1021-1000 bce). According to the biblical account found mainly in 1 Samuel, Saul was chosen king both by the judge Samuel and by public acclamation

Saúl (footballer, born 1994) - Wikipedia Saúl Níguez Esclápez (born 21 November 1994), often known simply as Saúl, is a Spanish professional footballer who plays as a midfielder for Campeonato Brasileiro Série A club

Walter B. Saul High School - Home - Walter B. Saul High School At W.B. Saul High School, our mission is to promote student leadership that makes a difference in our world. Through diverse, hands-on opportunities rooted in an urban setting, Saul students

When and why was Saul's name changed to Paul? - The answer is that Saul's name was also Paul. The custom of dual names was common in those days. Acts 13:9 describes the apostle as "Saul, who was also called Paul."

Who Was King Saul in the Bible? - Christianity He was chosen by God and given the opportunity to be Israel's first king. Saul's own insistent disobedience against the Lord, however, dashed any opportunity for an enduring

Inspired People. Inspiring Trust.® | Saul Ewing LLP Covering more than 40 industries and sectors, our attorneys share their perspectives on timely legal developments, analysis of anticipated changes in the law and

King Saul: A Man Destroyed by His Own Jealousy - Learn Religions Saul was chosen by God himself to be the first king of Israel. Saul defeated many of the enemies of his country, including the Ammonites, Philistines, Moabites, and Amalekites.

Who Was Saul, The First King of Israel, in The Bible - Bible Saul was the first chosen by God to be king over Israel. He was the son of Kish, a wealthy man from the tribe of Benjamin. The name Saul (or Shaul) in Hebrew means "asked of God," which

1 Samuel 31 NLT - The Death of Saul - Now the Philistines - Bible The Death of Saul - Now the Philistines attacked Israel, and the men of Israel fled before them. Many were slaughtered on the slopes of Mount Gilboa

Saul - Wikipedia Saul (/ ˈsoʊl /; Hebrew: שָׁאוּל, Šā'ul; Greek: Σαούλ, Saoúl; transl. "asked/prayed for") was a monarch of ancient Israel and Judah and, according to the Hebrew Bible and Old Testament,

Saul | Israel's First King & Biblical Ruler | Britannica Saul was the first king of Israel (c. 1021-1000 bce). According to the biblical account found mainly in 1 Samuel, Saul was chosen king both by the judge Samuel and by public acclamation

Saúl (footballer, born 1994) - Wikipedia Saúl Ñíguez Esclápez (born 21 November 1994), often known simply as Saúl, is a Spanish professional footballer who plays as a midfielder for Campeonato Brasileiro Série A club

Walter B. Saul High School - Home - Walter B. Saul High School At W.B. Saul High School, our mission is to promote student leadership that makes a difference in our world. Through diverse, hands-on opportunities rooted in an urban setting, Saul students

When and why was Saul's name changed to Paul? - The answer is that Saul's name was also Paul. The custom of dual names was common in those days. Acts 13:9 describes the apostle as "Saul, who was also called Paul."

Who Was King Saul in the Bible? - Christianity He was chosen by God and given the opportunity to be Israel's first king. Saul's own insistent disobedience against the Lord, however, dashed any opportunity for an enduring

Inspired People. Inspiring Trust.® | Saul Ewing LLP Covering more than 40 industries and sectors, our attorneys share their perspectives on timely legal developments, analysis of anticipated changes in the law and

King Saul: A Man Destroyed by His Own Jealousy - Learn Religions Saul was chosen by God himself to be the first king of Israel. Saul defeated many of the enemies of his country, including the Ammonites, Philistines, Moabites, and Amalekites.

Who Was Saul, The First King of Israel, in The Bible - Bible Saul was the first chosen by God to be king over Israel. He was the son of Kish, a wealthy man from the tribe of Benjamin. The name Saul (or Shaul) in Hebrew means "asked of God," which

1 Samuel 31 NLT - The Death of Saul - Now the Philistines - Bible The Death of Saul - Now the Philistines attacked Israel, and the men of Israel fled before them. Many were slaughtered on the slopes of Mount Gilboa

Saul - Wikipedia Saul (/ ˈsoʊl /; Hebrew: שָׁאוּל, Šā'ul; Greek: Σαούλ, Saoúl; transl. "asked/prayed for") was a monarch of ancient Israel and Judah and, according to the Hebrew Bible and Old Testament,

Saul | Israel's First King & Biblical Ruler | Britannica Saul was the first king of Israel (c. 1021-1000 bce). According to the biblical account found mainly in 1 Samuel, Saul was chosen king both by the judge Samuel and by public acclamation

Saúl (footballer, born 1994) - Wikipedia Saúl Ñíguez Esclápez (born 21 November 1994), often known simply as Saúl, is a Spanish professional footballer who plays as a midfielder for Campeonato Brasileiro Série A club

Walter B. Saul High School - Home - Walter B. Saul High School At W.B. Saul High School, our mission is to promote student leadership that makes a difference in our world. Through diverse, hands-on opportunities rooted in an urban setting, Saul students

When and why was Saul's name changed to Paul? The answer is that Saul's name was also Paul. The custom of dual names was common in those days. Acts 13:9 describes the apostle as "Saul, who was also called Paul."

Who Was King Saul in the Bible? - Christianity He was chosen by God and given the opportunity to be Israel's first king. Saul's own insistent disobedience against the Lord, however, dashed any opportunity for an enduring

Inspired People. Inspiring Trust.® | Saul Ewing LLP Covering more than 40 industries and sectors, our attorneys share their perspectives on timely legal developments, analysis of anticipated changes in the law and

King Saul: A Man Destroyed by His Own Jealousy - Learn Religions Saul was chosen by God himself to be the first king of Israel. Saul defeated many of the enemies of his country, including the Ammonites, Philistines, Moabites, and Amalekites.

Who Was Saul, The First King of Israel, in The Bible - Bible Saul was the first chosen by God to be king over Israel. He was the son of Kish, a wealthy man from the tribe of Benjamin. The name Saul (or Shaul) in Hebrew means "asked of God," which

1 Samuel 31 NLT - The Death of Saul - Now the Philistines - Bible The Death of Saul - Now the Philistines attacked Israel, and the men of Israel fled before them. Many were slaughtered on the slopes of Mount Gilboa

Saul - Wikipedia Saul (/ sɔːl /; Hebrew: שָׁאוּל, Šā'ûl; Greek: Σαούλ, Saoúl; transl. "asked/prayed for") was a monarch of ancient Israel and Judah and, according to the Hebrew Bible and Old Testament,

Saul | Israel's First King & Biblical Ruler | Britannica Saul was the first king of Israel (c. 1021-1000 bce). According to the biblical account found mainly in 1 Samuel, Saul was chosen king both by the judge Samuel and by public acclamation

Saúl (footballer, born 1994) - Wikipedia Saúl Níguez Esclápez (born 21 November 1994), often known simply as Saúl, is a Spanish professional footballer who plays as a midfielder for Campeonato Brasileiro Série A club

Walter B. Saul High School - Home - Walter B. Saul High School At W.B. Saul High School, our mission is to promote student leadership that makes a difference in our world. Through diverse, hands-on opportunities rooted in an urban setting, Saul students

When and why was Saul's name changed to Paul? - The answer is that Saul's name was also Paul. The custom of dual names was common in those days. Acts 13:9 describes the apostle as "Saul, who was also called Paul."

Who Was King Saul in the Bible? - Christianity He was chosen by God and given the opportunity to be Israel's first king. Saul's own insistent disobedience against the Lord, however, dashed any opportunity for an enduring

Inspired People. Inspiring Trust.® | Saul Ewing LLP Covering more than 40 industries and sectors, our attorneys share their perspectives on timely legal developments, analysis of anticipated changes in the law and

King Saul: A Man Destroyed by His Own Jealousy - Learn Religions Saul was chosen by God himself to be the first king of Israel. Saul defeated many of the enemies of his country, including the Ammonites, Philistines, Moabites, and Amalekites.

Who Was Saul, The First King of Israel, in The Bible - Bible Saul was the first chosen by God to be king over Israel. He was the son of Kish, a wealthy man from the tribe of Benjamin. The name Saul (or Shaul) in Hebrew means "asked of God," which

1 Samuel 31 NLT - The Death of Saul - Now the Philistines - Bible The Death of Saul - Now the Philistines attacked Israel, and the men of Israel fled before them. Many were slaughtered on the slopes of Mount Gilboa

Saul - Wikipedia Saul (/ sɔːl /; Hebrew: שָׁאוּל, Šā'ûl; Greek: Σαούλ, Saoúl; transl. "asked/prayed for") was a monarch of ancient Israel and Judah and, according to the Hebrew Bible and Old Testament,

Saul | Israel's First King & Biblical Ruler | Britannica Saul was the first king of Israel (c. 1021–1000 bce). According to the biblical account found mainly in 1 Samuel, Saul was chosen king both by the judge Samuel and by public acclamation

Saúl (footballer, born 1994) - Wikipedia Saúl Níguez Esclápez (born 21 November 1994), often known simply as Saúl, is a Spanish professional footballer who plays as a midfielder for Campeonato Brasileiro Série A club

Walter B. Saul High School - Home - Walter B. Saul High School At W.B. Saul High School, our mission is to promote student leadership that makes a difference in our world. Through diverse, hands-on opportunities rooted in an urban setting, Saul students

When and why was Saul's name changed to Paul? - The answer is that Saul's name was also Paul. The custom of dual names was common in those days. Acts 13:9 describes the apostle as "Saul, who was also called Paul."

Who Was King Saul in the Bible? - Christianity He was chosen by God and given the opportunity to be Israel's first king. Saul's own insistent disobedience against the Lord, however, dashed any opportunity for an enduring

Inspired People. Inspiring Trust.® | Saul Ewing LLP Covering more than 40 industries and sectors, our attorneys share their perspectives on timely legal developments, analysis of anticipated changes in the law and

King Saul: A Man Destroyed by His Own Jealousy - Learn Religions Saul was chosen by God himself to be the first king of Israel. Saul defeated many of the enemies of his country, including the Ammonites, Philistines, Moabites, and Amalekites.

Who Was Saul, The First King of Israel, in The Bible - Bible Saul was the first chosen by God to be king over Israel. He was the son of Kish, a wealthy man from the tribe of Benjamin. The name Saul (or Shaul) in Hebrew means "asked of God," which

1 Samuel 31 NLT - The Death of Saul - Now the Philistines - Bible The Death of Saul - Now the Philistines attacked Israel, and the men of Israel fled before them. Many were slaughtered on the slopes of Mount Gilboa

Related Articles

- [solasta crown of the magister faction guide](#)
- [tarot a universal language a glossary of the tarot and its symbols](#)
- [math bowl practice questions](#)

[Back to Home](#)