

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society

Taking Sides Clashing Views in Childhood and Society

Taking sides clashing views in childhood and society is a phenomenon that has intrigued psychologists, sociologists, and educators alike. From an early age, children are exposed to diverse opinions, cultural norms, and societal values that often clash, creating a complex landscape where taking sides becomes almost inevitable. This process not only shapes their understanding of the world but also influences their social interactions and personal development.

Understanding how children navigate conflicting perspectives and how society responds to these divisions offers valuable insights into fostering empathy, critical thinking, and social cohesion. Let's explore the various dimensions of taking sides and clashing views in childhood and society, examining the challenges and opportunities that arise from this dynamic interplay.

The Roots of Clashing Views in Childhood

Children are not born with a fixed set of beliefs; rather, their worldview is shaped by the environment they grow up in, the people they interact with, and the information they receive. Clashing views often emerge early, as kids encounter different opinions from family members, peers, and media.

The Role of Family and Cultural Background

Families are the primary socializing agents for children. They impart values, traditions, and beliefs that form the foundation of a child's identity. However, when children encounter views outside their family's cultural or ideological framework, a natural tension can arise. For example, a child raised in a conservative household might find it challenging to reconcile their family's beliefs with those held by a more liberal peer group.

This clash is a critical part of identity formation. Children learning to take sides between conflicting values develop skills in reasoning, negotiation, and empathy. Parents and caregivers who encourage open dialogue rather than rigid adherence to one viewpoint help children become more adaptable and tolerant of diversity.

Peer Influence and Social Identity

As children grow, peers become increasingly influential. Friendships often form around shared interests and beliefs, which can lead to the formation of social "sides." This group identification helps children feel a sense of belonging but can also deepen clashes between

differing viewpoints.

Taking sides in peer groups sometimes leads to exclusion or conflict, but it also teaches children important lessons about social dynamics and conflict resolution. Educators who recognize these dynamics can guide children toward constructive conversations instead of polarization.

Society's Role in Shaping and Responding to Clashing Views

The broader society plays a significant role in either exacerbating or mitigating conflicts that arise from clashing views. Media, education systems, and political discourse contribute to how individuals, including children, perceive and engage with opposing perspectives.

Media Influence and Information Overload

In today's digital age, children and adults alike are bombarded with information from countless sources. Social media platforms, news outlets, and online communities often present polarizing content, encouraging users to take definitive sides on complex issues.

This environment can reinforce echo chambers, where individuals only encounter views similar to their own, intensifying divisions. Teaching media literacy from a young age empowers children to critically evaluate information, recognize bias, and appreciate multiple viewpoints.

Education as a Bridge or Barrier

Schools have the potential to be a powerful arena for exploring diverse ideas and learning how to engage respectfully with disagreement. Curriculums that include critical thinking, history from multiple perspectives, and conflict resolution equip children to navigate clashing views constructively.

Conversely, education systems that promote a single narrative or discourage questioning can deepen societal divides. Encouraging open discussions and debate in classrooms fosters a culture of inquiry and mutual respect, essential for a healthy democratic society.

Psychological Impact of Taking Sides in Childhood

Making choices about which side to take in conflicts or differing opinions can be stressful for children. The psychological effects of these experiences depend on how the conflicts are managed and the support systems available.

Identity Development and Cognitive Growth

Taking sides is part of the natural process of identity development. Children learn to evaluate evidence, consider consequences, and develop personal values. This cognitive growth is crucial for becoming autonomous adults capable of making informed decisions.

However, rigidly adhering to one side without openness to alternatives can stunt emotional and intellectual development. Encouraging curiosity and flexibility helps children build resilience and adaptability.

Emotional Challenges and Conflict Resolution

Clashing views often lead to emotional tension, including anxiety, frustration, and feelings of isolation. Children who experience social rejection because of their opinions may struggle with self-esteem.

Teaching conflict resolution skills, empathy, and active listening helps children manage these emotions and maintain healthy relationships despite disagreements. Parents and educators play a vital role in modeling these behaviors.

Strategies for Navigating Clashing Views in Childhood and Society

Given the inevitability of clashing views, equipping children and society with tools to handle these differences constructively is essential.

Promoting Open Communication

Creating safe spaces where children can express their thoughts and feelings without fear of judgment encourages honest dialogue. Family discussions, classroom debates, and community forums can normalize disagreement as a healthy part of learning.

Encouraging Critical Thinking and Media Literacy

Teaching children how to analyze information critically reduces susceptibility to misinformation and one-sided narratives. Skills like questioning sources, identifying logical fallacies, and understanding context empower children to form balanced opinions.

Fostering Empathy and Perspective-Taking

Helping children see issues from multiple perspectives cultivates empathy. Activities such as role-playing, storytelling, and community service expose children to diverse experiences and reduce prejudice.

Modeling Respectful Disagreement

Adults who demonstrate how to disagree without hostility provide powerful examples. Respectful communication involves listening actively, acknowledging others' viewpoints, and expressing one's own ideas calmly.

Why Taking Sides Isn't Always Negative

While the phrase "taking sides" often carries a negative connotation, it can also reflect healthy engagement and personal growth. Choosing a stance after careful consideration shows critical thinking and moral development.

In childhood, taking sides can be a step toward understanding complex social issues and building a sense of justice. When supported by open-mindedness and respect, taking sides becomes a dynamic part of learning rather than a source of division.

The challenge lies in balancing conviction with openness—encouraging children and society to hold beliefs firmly but remain willing to listen and adapt.

Navigating the landscape of taking sides clashing views in childhood and society is a lifelong journey. It reflects the evolving nature of human relationships and the ongoing quest for understanding. By fostering environments where differing opinions are explored thoughtfully and respectfully, we nurture not only wiser individuals but also more compassionate communities.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the main theme of 'Taking Sides: Clashing Views in Childhood and Society'?

The main theme revolves around the diverse and often conflicting perspectives on issues related to childhood and society, encouraging critical thinking about topics such as education, child development, and social policies.

How does 'Taking Sides' present conflicting viewpoints on childhood development?

The book presents opposing arguments from experts and researchers, highlighting debates on topics like nature versus nurture, the impact of technology, and the role of parenting styles in childhood development.

Why is it important to explore clashing views in the context of childhood and society?

Exploring clashing views helps readers understand the complexity of childhood-related issues, challenges assumptions, and promotes informed decision-making in education, policy, and parenting.

What role does society play in shaping childhood according to the discussions in 'Taking Sides'?

Society influences childhood through cultural norms, educational systems, social policies, and community values, all of which can have varying impacts depending on differing societal perspectives presented in the book.

How are educational approaches debated in 'Taking Sides: Clashing Views in Childhood and Society'?

The book debates traditional versus progressive education methods, standardized testing, the role of technology in classrooms, and the balance between discipline and creativity in learning environments.

What are some controversies about technology's impact on children discussed in the book?

Controversies include debates on screen time limits, the educational benefits versus potential harms of digital media, and concerns about social skills development in the digital age.

How does 'Taking Sides' address the issue of child discipline?

The book presents contrasting viewpoints on discipline methods, including corporal punishment, positive reinforcement, and the psychological effects of various disciplinary approaches.

In what ways does the book discuss the influence of family structure on childhood?

It explores differing opinions on the effects of single-parent families, blended families, and

same-sex parenting on child development and well-being.

What insights does 'Taking Sides' offer about childhood mental health?

The book highlights debates on diagnosis criteria, the role of medication versus therapy, and societal stigma surrounding childhood mental health issues.

How can readers benefit from the clashing views presented in 'Taking Sides: Clashing Views in Childhood and Society'?

Readers gain a balanced understanding of complex issues, develop critical thinking skills, and are better equipped to form their own informed opinions on matters affecting children and society.

Additional Resources

Taking Sides: Clashing Views in Childhood and Society

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society is a topic that has long intrigued sociologists, psychologists, educators, and policymakers alike. The way society perceives childhood, and conversely, how children are integrated into social structures, often reveals deep-seated ideological divides. From debates about discipline and education to discussions on children's rights and autonomy, the conflicting viewpoints shape not only public policy but also cultural norms and family dynamics. Understanding these clashing perspectives necessitates a nuanced examination of historical contexts, cultural variations, and evolving societal values.

The Historical Context of Childhood in Society

The concept of childhood has not remained static; instead, it has undergone significant transformations across centuries. In medieval times, children were often viewed as miniature adults, expected to contribute to family labor and social obligations from an early age. The Enlightenment period introduced more protective notions of childhood, emphasizing innocence and the necessity of education. These shifting paradigms have influenced contemporary debates, where some advocate for preserving childhood as a protected phase of life, while others emphasize early responsibility and resilience-building.

This historical backdrop is crucial for understanding why taking sides in clashing views in childhood and society often reflects deeper ideological commitments. For instance, traditionalist viewpoints may prioritize discipline and respect for authority, viewing childhood as a preparatory stage for adult roles. In contrast, progressive perspectives emphasize children's autonomy, emotional well-being, and rights, advocating for child-centric policies and practices.

Clashing Perspectives on Education and Development

Education remains one of the most contested areas where taking sides clashing views in childhood and society become apparent. Two dominant schools of thought emerge: one that favors structured, standardized education aimed at measurable outcomes, and another that supports holistic, child-led learning approaches.

Standardized Education vs. Holistic Learning

Proponents of standardized education argue that a uniform curriculum ensures equity, accountability, and preparation for competitive economies. They highlight data showing improved literacy and numeracy rates linked to rigorous academic standards. However, critics contend that this model neglects emotional intelligence, creativity, and individual differences, potentially stifling natural curiosity and causing undue stress.

On the other hand, advocates for holistic learning emphasize the importance of nurturing a child's social, emotional, and cognitive development in an integrated manner. They often reference studies demonstrating that environments rich in play, exploration, and social interaction contribute to long-term well-being and adaptability. Yet, detractors question the scalability and consistency of such methods, underscoring challenges in assessment and resource allocation.

Discipline and Autonomy: Balancing Control and Freedom

Another significant arena for clashing views revolves around discipline strategies and the role of autonomy in childhood. Traditional approaches often endorse authoritative discipline, stressing obedience and clear boundaries as essential for social cohesion and moral development. Conversely, child psychologists and rights activists advocate for permissive or authoritative parenting styles that prioritize empathy, negotiation, and respect for children's voices.

Data from longitudinal studies present mixed findings. Some indicate that children raised with firm yet fair discipline tend to display better self-regulation and social competence. Others reveal that overly strict environments can impair creativity and increase anxiety levels. Meanwhile, environments fostering autonomy correlate with higher self-esteem but sometimes face criticism for potentially undermining parental authority.

Societal Implications of Divergent Childhood Views

The clash over childhood perspectives extends beyond family and education into broader societal domains, including policy-making, media representation, and legal frameworks.

Children's Rights and Legal Protections

Internationally, the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNCRC) has set a standard recognizing children as rights holders rather than mere recipients of adult protection. This shift has generated debate: while many celebrate enhanced legal safeguards for children's welfare, others argue that emphasizing rights may inadvertently challenge traditional family structures and cultural norms.

Countries vary widely in implementing children's rights, reflecting divergent societal values. In some regions, child labor laws and compulsory schooling are strictly enforced, reflecting prioritization of childhood protection. In contrast, other societies permit greater child participation in economic activities, viewing early engagement as vital to socialization and survival.

Media and Cultural Narratives

Media plays a pivotal role in shaping societal perceptions of childhood. Television, literature, and digital content often depict children in ways that reinforce particular ideologies—whether as innocent and vulnerable beings needing protection or as resilient agents capable of influencing their environment.

These portrayals influence public opinion and policy. For example, sensationalized media coverage of juvenile delinquency can fuel calls for punitive measures, while narratives highlighting children's creativity and activism inspire supportive educational reforms and youth empowerment initiatives.

Cross-Cultural Comparisons and the Global Debate

Taking sides in clashing views in childhood and society becomes even more complex when considering cultural diversity. Norms about childhood vary significantly across the globe, influenced by economic conditions, religious beliefs, and historical experiences.

Collectivist vs. Individualist Societies

In collectivist cultures, childhood is often framed within the context of family and community obligations. Children are expected to contribute to collective goals, sometimes at the expense of personal freedom. Contrastingly, individualist societies emphasize personal development, self-expression, and independence from an early age.

These cultural orientations affect educational practices, parenting styles, and legal policies. For example, in collectivist societies, strict discipline and early responsibility are normative, while in individualist contexts, child autonomy and emotional expression receive greater emphasis.

Economic Factors and Childhood Experiences

Economic disparities also underscore clashing views. In affluent societies, childhood is frequently idealized as a protected period of leisure and learning. Meanwhile, in economically disadvantaged regions, children may face realities of labor, migration, and limited access to education.

These differences complicate universal approaches to childhood policies and interventions, necessitating culturally sensitive and context-specific strategies.

Future Directions and Emerging Debates

As societies evolve, new issues emerge within the discourse on childhood and societal roles. Digital technology, for instance, introduces questions about screen time, online safety, and the impact of social media on development. Climate change activism by youth has also brought children's agency into sharper focus, challenging traditional power dynamics.

Moreover, debates around neurodiversity and inclusion are reshaping understandings of normalcy and support in childhood development. These evolving topics demonstrate that taking sides clashing views in childhood and society is an ongoing, dynamic process reflecting broader societal transformations.

By examining these multifaceted perspectives, stakeholders can better navigate the complexities inherent in childhood policies and practices. Recognizing the legitimacy of diverse views allows for more inclusive dialogues and innovative solutions that honor both the needs of children and the fabric of society.

Taking Sides Clashing Views In Childhood And Society

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Taking Sides Robert Louis DelCampo, Diana S. DelCampo, 2006 *Taking Sides: Clashing Views in Childhood and Society*, Sixth Edition, is a debate-style reader designed to introduce students to controversies in childhood and society. The readings, which represent the arguments of leading child behaviorists and social commentators, reflect a variety of viewpoints and have been selected for their liveliness and substance and because of their value in a debate framework. For each issue, the editor provides a concise introduction and postscript summary. The introduction sets the stage for the debate as it is argued in the yes and no readings, and the postscript briefly reviews the opposing view and suggests additional readings on the controversial issue under discussion. By requiring students to analyze

opposing viewpoints and reach considered judgments, Taking Sides actively develops students' critical thinking skills. It is this development of critical thinking skills that is the ultimate purpose of each of the volumes in the widely acclaimed Taking Sides program.

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Taking Sides: Clashing Views in Childhood and Society Kourtney T. Vaillancourt, 2017-10-04 The Taking Sides Collection on McGraw-Hill Create® includes current controversial issues in a debate-style format designed to stimulate student interest and develop critical thinking skills. This Collection contains a multitude of current and classic issues to enhance and customize your course. You can browse the entire Taking Sides Collection on Create or you can search by topic, author, or keywords. Each Taking Sides issue is thoughtfully framed with Learning Outcomes, an Issue Summary, an Introduction, and an Exploring the Issue section featuring Critical Thinking and Reflection, Is There Common Ground?, Additional Resources, and Internet References. Go to the Taking Sides Collection on McGraw-Hill Create® at www.mcgrawhillcreate.com/takingsides and click on Explore this Collection to browse the entire Collection. Select individual Taking Sides issues to enhance your course, or access and select the entire Vaillancourt: Taking Sides: Clashing Views in Childhood and Society, 11/e book [here](#)

<http://create.mheducation.com/createonline/index.html#qlink=search%2Ftext%3Disbn:1259910911> for an easy, pre-built teaching resource. Visit <http://create.mheducation.com> for more information on other McGraw-Hill titles and special collections.

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Taking Sides Robert Louis DelCampo, Diana S. DelCampo, Kourtney Ty Vaillancourt, 2012

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Taking Sides Childhood and Society Diana S. DelCampo, Robert Louis DelCampo, 2003-10 The sixth edition is designed to be used for courses in child development, human development, or parenting. Each part of the book deals with one of the four developmental phases of childhood: infancy, early childhood, middle childhood, adolescence.

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Taking Sides Robert Louis DelCampo, Diana S. DelCampo, 1998

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Taking Sides: Clashing Views in Childhood and Society Diana Del Campo, Robert Del Campo, 2007-10-22 From McGraw-Hill Contemporary Learning Series (formerly known as McGraw-Hill/Dushkin), this Seventh Edition of TAKING SIDES: CHILDHOOD AND SOCIETY presents current controversial issues in a debate-style format designed to stimulate student interest and develop critical thinking skills. Each issue is thoughtfully framed with an issue summary, an issue introduction, and a postscript. An instructor's manual with testing material is available for each volume. USING TAKING SIDES IN THE CLASSROOM is also an excellent instructor resource with practical suggestions on incorporating this effective approach in the classroom. Each TAKING SIDES reader features an annotated listing of selected World Wide Web sites and is supported by our student website, www.mhcls.com/online.

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Taking Sides Kourtney Ty Vaillancourt, 2016

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Taking Sides Diana S. DelCampo,

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Clashing Views on Controversial Issues in Childhood and Society Diana S. Delcampo, Robert L. DelCampo, 1995-08-01

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: CREATE COLLECTION ONLY Taking Sides: Clashing Views in Childhood and Society Kourtney Vaillancourt, 2013-10-01 The Taking Sides Collection on McGraw-Hill Create™ includes current controversial issues in a debate-style format designed to stimulate student interest and develop critical thinking skills. This Collection contains a multitude of current and classic issues to enhance and customize your course. You can browse the entire Taking Sides Collection on Create, or you can search by topic, author, or keywords. Each Taking Sides issue is thoughtfully framed with Learning Outcomes, an Issue Summary, an Introduction, and an Exploring the Issue section featuring Critical Thinking and Reflection, Is There

Common Ground?, and Additional Resources and Internet References. Go to McGraw-Hill Create™ at www.mcgrawhillcreate.com, click on the Collections tab, and select The Taking Sides Collection to browse the entire Collection. Select individual Taking Sides issues to enhance your course, or access and select the entire Vaillancourt: Taking Sides: Clashing Views in Childhood and Society, 10/e ExpressBook for an easy, pre-built teaching resource by clicking here. An online Instructor's Resource Guide with testing material is available for each Taking Sides volume. Using Taking Sides in the Classroom is also an excellent instructor resource. Visit the Create Central Online Learning Center at www.mhhe.com/createcentral for more details.

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Taking Sides Raymond Goldberg, 1996 This debate style reader is designed to introduce students to controversies in drug use and misuse in contemporary society. The readings, which represent the arguments of leading social scientists, health care professionals, and social commentators, reflect a variety of viewpoints, and are formatted into a pro and con framework.

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Understanding Abnormal Child Psychology Vicky Phares, 2020-09-09 In Understanding Abnormal Child Psychology, students will learn about both normative and abnormal development throughout children's lives. Consistent with previous editions, several themes run throughout the book: Developmental psychopathology: Children's and adolescents' behaviors are on a continuum (from very adaptive to very maladaptive), with only the very severe ends of the spectrum being conceptualized as disorders. Diversity, inclusion, and understanding: Special attention is given to issues of race/ethnicity, gender, family constellation, religious orientation, primary language, socioeconomic status, and physical differences to help students see the commonalities and differences of abnormal child behavior within a cross-cultural and international context. New to the 4th edition Completely revised in both structure and content to reflect the DSM-5 Increase coverage of risk factors related to long-term effects of sexual abuse and bullying. Increased coverage of diversity to include new diversities that have emerged as important, i.e. transgender children New research on suicide and suicide prevention

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Taking Sides Diana S. DelCampo, Robert Louis DelCampo, 2000 Presents opposing viewpoints on seventeen controversial issues related to childhood and society, covering infancy, early childhood, middle childhood, and adolescence.

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Social Seduction SHARON TETILA COX, 2015-10-27 The stories in this book are about ordinary everyday human beings as we are each challenged and often socially seduced biologically, psycho-socially, spiritually, and economically as biopsychosocial and spiritual beings. These are also riveting true stories of the biopsychosocial and spiritual being who demonstrates the courage to stand strong during challenges of social seduction not just for oneself, but also for others. Many of us muster up the courage to do the things that are right according to our spiritual faith which for me includes my Christian ethics meaning even when we do not necessarily feel like doing them. We find the courage to not do the things were being hedonistically enticed or seduced into doing when we know its not morally right, or against our practicing spiritual ethics which clearly tells us not to do them. While many biopsychosocial and spiritual beings pray, some chant, others meditate, study/tarry, etc. Often this is based upon our individually unique beliefs and practices we are allowed here in America. My Christian Ethics however are rooted in the Science of Biblical Hermeneutics which truly empowers me with full armor and the sword of the spirit that sustains and keeps me steady allowing me to maintain my inner peace in the midst of storms including the ones shared in this book.

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Taking Sides Robert T. Francoeur, 1996 [The book] is a debate-style reader designed to introduce students to controversies in human sexuality. The readings, which represent the arguments of leading social commentators, educators, and sexologists, reflect a variety of viewpoints and have been selected for their liveliness and substance and because of their value in a debate framework. -Back cover. [The editor has] gathered

38 lively and thoughtful statements by articulate advocates on opposite sides of a variety of sexuality-related questions. -Pref.

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Taking Sides Taking Sides Staff, 1996 Methods, systems, and techniques of teaching controversial issues.

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Taking Sides Thomas R. Swartz, Frank J. Bonello, 1995 Taking Sides: Clashing Views on Economic Issues, Twelfth Edition, is a debate-style reader designed to introduce students to controversies in economics. The readings, which represent the arguments of leading economists and commentators, reflect a variety of viewpoints and have been selected for their liveliness and substance and because of their value in a debate framework.

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Taking Sides, 1996

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Taking Sides Richard C. Monk, 1996

taking sides clashing views in childhood and society: Blackwell Handbook of Infant Development J. Gavin Bremner, Alan Fogel, 2009-02-04 This up-to-date overview of the fast-moving field of infant development covers all the major areas of interest in terms of research, applications and policy. Provides an up-to-date overview of progress on important developmental questions relating to infancy. Balances North American and European perspective. Written by leading international researchers. Now available in full text online via xreferplus, the award-winning reference library on the web from xrefer. For more information, visit www.xreferplus.com

Related to taking sides clashing views in childhood and society

TAKE Definition & Meaning - Merriam-Webster The meaning of TAKE is to get into one's hands or into one's possession, power, or control. How to use take in a sentence. Synonym Discussion of Take

TAKING | definition in the Cambridge English Dictionary Many of our lives are centered on taking care of the needs of everyone around us aside from ourselves. It wanders about a third of the time while a person is reading, talking with other

TAKING Definition & Meaning | Taking definition: the act of a person or thing that takes.. See examples of TAKING used in a sentence

TAKING definition in American English | Collins English Dictionary 4 senses: 1. charming, fascinating, or intriguing 2. informal infectious; catching 3. something taken 4. receipts; the income Click for more definitions

Taking Definition & Meaning - YourDictionary Taking definition: That captures interest; attractive; winning

Taking - definition of taking by The Free Dictionary 1. Capturing interest; fetching: a taking smile. 2. Contagious; catching. Used of an infectious disease

Taking vs. Taken - When to Use Each (Helpful Examples) The words "taking" and "taken" as verbs basically refer to different times. "Taken" is used with passive sentences, plus with present and past perfect, whereas "taking" is used with

Taken vs Taking: What's the Difference? - Two Minute English Understanding the difference between taken and taking is key to mastering English. Taken is the past participle of "take." We use it when talking about something that has

taking, n. meanings, etymology and more | Oxford English Dictionary There are 12 meanings listed in OED's entry for the noun taking, five of which are labelled obsolete. See 'Meaning & use' for definitions, usage, and quotation evidence

taking - Dictionary of English taking, + n. Government an action by the federal government, as a regulatory ruling, that imposes a restriction on the use of private property for which the owner must be compensated

TAKE Definition & Meaning - Merriam-Webster The meaning of TAKE is to get into one's hands or into one's possession, power, or control. How to use take in a sentence. Synonym Discussion of Take

TAKING | definition in the Cambridge English Dictionary Many of our lives are centered on taking care of the needs of everyone around us aside from ourselves. It wanders about a third of the time while a person is reading, talking with other

TAKING Definition & Meaning | Taking definition: the act of a person or thing that takes.. See examples of TAKING used in a sentence

TAKING definition in American English | Collins English Dictionary 4 senses: 1. charming, fascinating, or intriguing 2. informal infectious; catching 3. something taken 4. receipts; the income Click for more definitions

Taking Definition & Meaning - YourDictionary Taking definition: That captures interest; attractive; winning

Taking - definition of taking by The Free Dictionary 1. Capturing interest; fetching: a taking smile. 2. Contagious; catching. Used of an infectious disease

Taking vs. Taken - When to Use Each (Helpful Examples) The words “taking” and “taken” as verbs basically refer to different times. “Taken” is used with passive sentences, plus with present and past perfect, whereas “taking” is used with

Taken vs Taking: What’s the Difference? - Two Minute English Understanding the difference between taken and taking is key to mastering English. Taken is the past participle of “take.” We use it when talking about something that has

taking, n. meanings, etymology and more | Oxford English Dictionary There are 12 meanings listed in OED's entry for the noun taking, five of which are labelled obsolete. See ‘Meaning & use’ for definitions, usage, and quotation evidence

taking - Dictionary of English taking, + n. Government an action by the federal government, as a regulatory ruling, that imposes a restriction on the use of private property for which the owner must be compensated

Related Articles

- [piano sheet music for christmas songs](#)
- [succubus trick grown up problem](#)
- [anti cd19 car t cell therapy for refractory systemic lupus erythematosus](#)

[Back to Home](#)