

may it please the court

May It Please the Court: Understanding the Phrase and Its Role in Legal Proceedings

may it please the court is one of those formal expressions that instantly brings to mind the solemnity and decorum of a courtroom. It's a phrase steeped in tradition, used by lawyers and advocates as a respectful preamble when addressing a judge or the court. But beyond its ceremonial use, it carries significance in how legal arguments are framed and perceived. If you've ever wondered about the origins, proper usage, or the nuances behind this phrase, you're in the right place. Let's delve into what "may it please the court" truly means and why it remains a staple in legal parlance.

The Origins and Meaning of "May It Please the Court"

The phrase "may it please the court" has its roots in English common law and has been carried over into many legal systems around the world, including the United States, Canada, and various Commonwealth countries. It is a formal way for counsel to begin their address, signaling respect and deference to the judicial body.

At its core, "may it please the court" is a polite request: the lawyer is essentially saying, "I hope what I am about to present will be acceptable to the court." This sets the tone for the proceedings, acknowledging the authority of the judge or panel of judges while preparing to offer arguments, evidence, or submissions.

Why Do Lawyers Use Such Formal Language?

Legal language is famously formal and traditionally rigid for several reasons:

- **Respect for the Institution:** The judiciary is a pillar of justice, and formality underscores the seriousness of its role.
- **Clarity and Precision:** Formal phrases help maintain clear boundaries and roles during a trial or hearing.
- **Tradition and Continuity:** The legal system thrives on precedent, and language is a part of that continuity.
- **Maintaining Professionalism:** The courtroom is a professional environment where decorum is necessary.

Using "may it please the court" helps lawyers maintain a respectful and serious tone right from the outset of their argument.

How "May It Please the Court" Fits Into Courtroom Etiquette

In many legal systems, courtroom etiquette is crucial. Proper behavior reflects well on the lawyer's credibility and can influence how the court perceives the arguments presented. Starting with "may it please the court" is part of this etiquette.

The Role of Addressing the Court

Addressing the court properly shows that the speaker acknowledges the judge's authority and the formal context. It acts as a verbal cue that the lawyer is about to begin a structured argument or presentation.

For example, a lawyer might say:

> "May it please the court, I represent the appellant in this matter and will be arguing that the lower court erred in its application of the law."

This not only opens the floor respectfully but also provides a brief preview of the argument to come.

When Is It Used?

Typically, "may it please the court" is used:

- At the beginning of oral arguments before appellate courts
- When making submissions or formal statements in court
- During motions, hearings, and other formal judicial proceedings

It's less commonly used in casual or informal legal settings, such as client meetings or negotiations.

Variations and Related Legal Phrases

While "may it please the court" is the most common phrasing, related expressions exist that serve similar purposes. Some variants include:

- "If it please the court"
- "With the court's permission"
- "May it please this honorable court"

Each variation reflects a subtle difference in tone or regional preference but generally maintains the same respectful intent.

How to Use These Phrases Effectively

For law students or new practitioners, mastering these formal expressions can sometimes feel like learning a new language. Here are a few tips:

1. ****Practice Delivery:**** Saying "may it please the court" with confidence and appropriate tone sets a positive tone.
2. ****Know When to Use It:**** Reserve it for formal court appearances or appellate arguments.

3. ****Combine With Clear Arguments:**** The phrase is an introduction; the substance of your argument must follow clearly and logically.
4. ****Maintain Respect Throughout:**** Avoid interrupting the judge or opposing counsel, and keep language professional.

The Cultural and Jurisdictional Differences

While “may it please the court” is standard in many common law jurisdictions, courtroom customs can vary around the world.

United States vs. United Kingdom

In the United States, especially in appellate courts like the Supreme Court, “may it please the court” is a well-established phrase. It is often the first words out of an attorney’s mouth when making oral arguments.

In the United Kingdom, similar phrases are used, but there may be slight differences in courtroom protocol and expectations for oral submissions.

Other Common Law Countries

Countries such as Canada, Australia, and India also use this phrase or its variants, reflecting their shared legal heritage. However, local customs may influence the precise way it is employed.

Why Understanding This Phrase Matters Beyond Law Students

You might wonder why a phrase like “may it please the court” deserves attention outside of legal circles. The answer lies in how it exemplifies the broader principles of communication and respect in professional settings.

Lessons in Respectful Communication

The phrase teaches us the power of polite and formal language when addressing authority or during critical conversations. Whether in business negotiations, academic presentations, or diplomatic talks, opening with respectful language can set a constructive tone.

Appreciating Legal Traditions

For those interested in law, politics, or history, understanding such phrases offers a window into the culture and traditions that shape judicial systems. It enriches one’s appreciation of how courts function not just legally but socially.

Common Misconceptions About "May It Please the Court"

Despite its widespread use, some misconceptions surround this phrase:

- **It's Just a Formality:** While it is formal, it also serves a functional purpose—acknowledging the court's authority.
- **Only Used by Experienced Lawyers:** In fact, even junior advocates and law students learn to use it early in their training.
- **Optional or Unnecessary:** In many courts, not using it can be seen as lacking respect or professionalism.

How to Avoid Misusing the Phrase

- Don't use it in casual or informal legal discussions where it might seem out of place.
- Avoid overusing it; it should open an argument, not pepper a presentation.
- Pair it with well-prepared, concise arguments to maintain credibility.

Integrating "May It Please the Court" Into Your Legal Practice

For aspiring lawyers or anyone participating in court proceedings, incorporating "may it please the court" naturally into your addressing style is important.

Preparation Tips

- **Memorize the phrase and practice its pronunciation and timing.**
- **Use it as a transition into your arguments rather than a standalone statement.**
- **Observe experienced attorneys to see how they use it effectively.**

Building Confidence in Court

Starting with "may it please the court" can help calm nerves by providing a set phrase to begin your presentation. It signals that you are ready and respectful, which can positively influence both the judge and your own mindset.

Understanding the phrase "may it please the court" unlocks more than just a polite courtroom greeting. It reveals a layer of respect, tradition, and professionalism that lies at the heart of legal advocacy. Whether you're a law student, a professional, or a curious observer, appreciating these nuances enriches your perspective on how justice is communicated and administered. Next time you hear "may it please the court," you'll know it's

more than a phrase – it's a gateway to engaging with the solemnity and dignity of the legal process.

Frequently Asked Questions

What does the phrase 'May it please the court' mean?

'May it please the court' is a formal expression used by lawyers when addressing a judge or the court, signifying respect and seeking permission to speak.

When is 'May it please the court' typically used?

It is typically used at the beginning of oral arguments or submissions in court proceedings.

Is 'May it please the court' required in all legal systems?

No, its usage varies by jurisdiction and legal tradition; it is more common in common law countries like the United States, Canada, and the United Kingdom.

Can 'May it please the court' be omitted during court proceedings?

Yes, in some courts and jurisdictions, lawyers may omit this phrase, especially in less formal settings or when the court permits a more direct style of address.

What is the origin of the phrase 'May it please the court'?

The phrase originates from English common law and has been used historically as a courteous way for counsel to begin their submissions to the judge or judges.

Are there alternatives to saying 'May it please the court'?

Yes, some lawyers may say 'Your Honor,' 'May it please Your Honor,' or simply begin with their argument, depending on the court's custom.

Does 'May it please the court' have any legal significance?

The phrase itself has no legal effect; it is a traditional courtesy to show respect to the court and its authority.

How should a lawyer follow 'May it please the court' in an argument?

After saying 'May it please the court,' the lawyer usually introduces themselves and their client and then proceeds with their legal arguments.

Is 'May it please the court' used in written legal submissions?

No, it is generally reserved for oral arguments and is not used in written briefs or documents filed with the court.

Does the use of 'May it please the court' vary depending on the level of the court?

Yes, higher courts such as appellate or supreme courts often observe more formalities including this phrase, whereas lower courts may be less formal.

Additional Resources

May It Please the Court: An Analytical Exploration of Its Usage and Significance in Legal Proceedings

may it please the court is a phrase steeped in tradition and reverence, commonly used by legal practitioners during oral arguments and formal court presentations. This expression, which serves as a respectful preamble when addressing a judge or panel of judges, carries with it centuries of judicial decorum and procedural significance. Understanding the origins, application, and implications of this phrase offers valuable insight into courtroom protocols and the broader legal culture.

The Origins and Historical Context of "May It Please the Court"

The phrase "may it please the court" is entrenched in the conventions of common law systems, notably those influenced by English legal traditions. Historically, it functions as a formal courtesy, signaling the lawyer's deference to the judicial authority and the solemnity of the proceedings. Its roots can be traced back to early English courts, where addressing the judge with utmost respect was not merely a matter of etiquette but a reflection of the hierarchical nature of the legal system.

Over time, the phrase has been adopted across numerous jurisdictions, including the United States, Canada, Australia, and other Commonwealth countries. While the exact wording may vary slightly, the underlying intent remains consistent: to acknowledge the court's role as the ultimate arbiter of justice.

Purpose and Significance in Modern Courtrooms

In contemporary legal practice, "may it please the court" serves multiple functions:

- **Respect and Formality:** It establishes a tone of professionalism and respect, setting the stage for the arguments that follow.
- **Attention and Permission:** The phrase implicitly requests the court's attention and grants permission to proceed, recognizing the judge's authority.
- **Tradition and Continuity:** Employing this phrase connects current legal practitioners with a long lineage of judicial proceedings, reinforcing the stability and continuity of the legal system.

Although seemingly ceremonial, the phrase helps maintain decorum, especially in high-stakes cases, such as those heard before appellate courts or the Supreme Court, where the precision of language and respect for procedure are paramount.

Practical Usage: When and How Is "May It Please the Court" Employed?

Legal professionals typically use "may it please the court" at the outset of oral arguments or submissions. It is most prevalent in appellate advocacy, where lawyers must succinctly present complex legal issues before multiple judges. The phrase acts as a verbal cue, signaling the beginning of formal argument and framing the presentation within the bounds of respect and order.

Comparison Across Jurisdictions

While "may it please the court" is widely recognized, its usage varies:

- **United States:** Commonly used in Supreme Court arguments and federal appellate courts. Some state courts may prefer more colloquial or abbreviated forms.
- **United Kingdom:** Phrases such as "May it please Your Lordship" or "May it please Your Honour" are used, reflecting the titles of judges.
- **Canada and Australia:** Retain the traditional phrase largely unchanged, underscoring their common law heritage.

These variations highlight how legal cultures adapt formal phrases to fit their judicial contexts while preserving the essence of respectful address.

Potential Criticisms and Modern Perspectives

Despite its entrenched status, some legal commentators question the necessity of "may it please the court," arguing that it may contribute to unnecessary formality or obscure straightforward communication. In an era increasingly focused on clarity and accessibility in the legal system, there is ongoing debate about balancing tradition with efficiency.

However, proponents contend that such phrases reinforce the solemnity of court proceedings and remind all participants of the gravity of legal decision-making. Moreover, in appellate courts, where the tone and demeanor can influence judicial perception, beginning with "may it please the court" remains a prudent practice.

Related Legal Phrases and Their Functions

To fully appreciate the role of "may it please the court," it is useful to consider related courtroom expressions that serve complementary purposes.

Common Preambles and Formalities

- **"Your Honor" / "My Lord":** Direct forms of address to the presiding judge, signaling respect and recognizing judicial authority.
- **"With the court's permission":** Used to seek approval before undertaking specific actions, such as introducing evidence or questioning witnesses.
- **"If it pleases the court":** A variant phrase sometimes employed interchangeably with "may it please the court."

These expressions collectively contribute to the formal atmosphere of legal proceedings, ensuring that communication flows within established protocols.

Variations in Oral Argument Introductions

Different courts or lawyers may open their arguments with variations tailored to the specific context, such as:

- **"May it please the court, my name is...":** Introducing oneself respectfully before proceeding.
- **"May it please the court, counsel for the appellant/respondent":** Clarifying the party represented.
- **"With the court's indulgence":** Politely requesting a moment or leniency in proceeding.

Each variation subtly shapes the interaction, balancing respect with the need to assert a persuasive position.

The Role of Language and Decorum in Judicial Authority

Language in court is more than a vehicle for communication; it is a mechanism through which authority and legitimacy are maintained. "May it please the court" epitomizes this dynamic, encapsulating the interplay between lawyer, judge, and the law.

Symbolism and Psychological Impact

The phrase functions symbolically, reinforcing the hierarchy within the courtroom. By formally acquiescing to the court's authority at the outset, lawyers acknowledge the legitimacy of the judicial process. This ritualistic acknowledgment may influence the tone of the interaction, fostering an environment conducive to order and respect.

Impact on Legal Education and Training

Legal education emphasizes mastering courtroom etiquette, including the use of formal phrases like "may it please the court." Law students and young attorneys often undergo rigorous training to ensure their oral advocacy meets professional standards. This training reflects the broader understanding that effective communication in court extends beyond legal arguments to include the manner and style of presentation.

Conclusion: The Enduring Presence of a Time-Honored Phrase

"May it please the court" remains a cornerstone of courtroom protocol, bridging centuries of legal tradition with contemporary practice. While debates about modernizing legal language continue, this phrase endures as a marker of respect, professionalism, and the solemnity of judicial proceedings. Its usage underscores the intricate relationship between language, authority, and the pursuit of justice within the halls of the judiciary.

[May It Please The Court](#)

may it please the court: May It Please the Court Brian L. Porto, 2008-10-17 Despite their clarity and sophistication, most judicial process texts currently available have two significant limitations. First, they understate the effects of legal factors such as stare decisis on judicial decision-making and second, they fail to convey the human emotions involved in litigation. Reflecting

the author's experience as a political scientist, law student, judicial clerk, practicing attorney, and law professor, *May It Please the Court: Judicial Processes and Politics in America*, Second Edition redresses this imbalance by giving well-deserved attention to legal influences on judicial decisions and to the human drama of litigation. Each chapter reflects the book's premise that the judicial process operates at the intersection of law and politics, and this theme guides the discussions. The coverage in the book is far-reaching, exploring numerous topics, including the structure of federal and state courts, the selection and removal of judges, and the legal profession's history and culture. It discusses two hypothetical cases, outlining their trial and appellate proceedings. It also presents an engaging debate about the legitimacy and the utility of judicial policy making. New to this edition: Expanded appendices, including a discussion of computerized legal research New illustrative cases, documents, and web references All chapters updated to reflect changes since the first publication in 2001 The final chapter summarizes the theme of the book, noting that courts not only enforce norms and resolve disputes, but also, as a coequal branch of government, shape the fundamental power relationships that drive American politics. The chapter ends by observing that the judicial process offers a window on the entire American political system. This book clarifies the view from that window.

may it please the court: *May It Please the Court* Richard Baldwin Cook, 2008-05 Deprived of his license to practice law in three states, the author examines professional conduct rules that are applied to judges, and offers prescriptive comments that should be binding upon any who seek a position on the bench.

may it please the court: *May it Please the Court* Stephanie Guitton, Peter H. Irons, 1995 Presents eight major cases on reproductive rights. Tapes introduce each case and include commentary about them. Book includes transcripts of the arguments, excerpts from the final decisions, reading lists, and a chronology of court history on reproductive rights.

may it please the court: *Annual Reports of the Secretary of War* United States. War Department, 1908

may it please the court: *American State Trials* John Davison Lawson, 1914

may it please the court: *Records & Briefs New York State Appellate Division* ,

may it please the court: *The American Annual Cyclopædia and Register of Important Events of the Year* , 1870

may it please the court: *American Bankruptcy Reports* , 1927

may it please the court: *Southwell v. Parker Plow Co.*, 234 MICH 292 (1926) , 1926 50

may it please the court: *May it Please the Court* Peter H. Irons, 2000

may it please the court: *Tsingos v. Michigan Packing Co.*, 272 MICH 7 (1935) , 1935 85

may it please the court: *Records and Briefs New York State Appellate Division* , 1966

may it please the court: *The Federal Reporter* , 1907 Includes cases argued and determined in the District Courts of the United States and, Mar./May 1880-Oct./Nov. 1912, the Circuit Courts of the United States; Sept./Dec. 1891-Sept./Nov. 1924, the Circuit Courts of Appeals of the United States; Aug./Oct. 1911-Jan./Feb. 1914, the Commerce Court of the United States; Sept./Oct. 1919-Sept./Nov. 1924, the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia.

may it please the court: *Detroit Municipal Employees Association v. City of Detroit; Lyons v. City of Detroit*, 344 MICH 670 (1956) , 1956 4, 5

may it please the court: *Grimshaw v. Aske*, 332 MICH 146 (1952) , 1952 8

may it please the court: *Bliss v. Kaplan*, 369 MICH 293 (1963) , 1963 48821

may it please the court: *The Pacific Reporter* , 1929

may it please the court: *Welsh v. Welsh*, 328 MICH 480 (1950) , 1950 52

may it please the court: *May it Please the Court* James Montgomery Beck, 1930

may it please the court: *People v. Martin*, 256 MICH 33 (1931) , 1931 126

Related to may it please the court

may may _**may** _ _ _ _ _ , may , may , may , may
 , may , may

corrupted corrupted If you have bad friends, your morals may be corrupted. corrupted, corrupted. corrupted

economic economic Economic conditions may lie responsible for the creation of social unrest.

in terms of **in terms of** Though it may be possible to measure the value of material goods in terms of money, it is extremely difficult to estimate the true value of the services which people perform for us

distinctive distinctiveness As you acquire a distinctive identity, your attitudes are further refined by the behavior of those with whom you identify—your family, those of your gender and culture, and the people you admire,

[illegible]

though though Though it may be possible to measure the value of material goods in terms of money, it is extremely difficult to estimate the true value of the services which people perform for us.

[illegible]

corrupted corrupted If you have bad friends, your morals may be corrupted.

economic_____ **economic**_____. Economic conditions may lie responsible for the creation of social unrest. _____.

in terms of **in terms of** Though it may be possible to measure the value of material goods in terms of money, it is extremely difficult to estimate the true value of the services which people perform for us

distinctive distinctiveness As you acquire a distinctive identity, your attitudes are further refined by the behavior of those with whom you identify—your family, those of your gender and culture, and the people you admire,

gender and culture, and to people you admire,
 #####-##### 177 AI
 #####

though Though it may be possible to measure the value of material goods in terms of money, it is extremely difficult to estimate the true value of the services which people perform for us.

may_____**_may**_____**_**_____**_**_____**_**_____ _____,_____may_____,may_____,may_____,may_____,may_____,may_____

corrupted corrupted If you have bad friends, your morals may be corrupted.

economic_____ **economic**_____ Economic conditions may lie responsible for the creation of social unrest. _____.

in terms of **in terms of** Though it may be possible to measure the value of material goods in terms of money, it is extremely difficult to estimate the true value of the services which people perform for us

distinctive distinct **distinctive** As you acquire a distinctive identity, your attitudes are further refined by the behavior of those with whom you identify—your family, those of your gender and culture, and the people you admire,

177 AI

though though it may be possible to measure the value of

Supreme Court Limits Judges' Ability to Issue Nationwide Injunctions, a Win for Trump

(The New York Times3mon) The decision could reshape the way U.S. citizenship is granted, even temporarily. Abbie VanSickle Reporting from Washington Protesters in favor of birthright citizenship outside the Supreme Court in

Supreme Court Limits Judges' Ability to Issue Nationwide Injunctions, a Win for Trump

(The New York Times3mon) The decision could reshape the way U.S. citizenship is granted, even temporarily. Abbie VanSickle Reporting from Washington Protesters in favor of birthright citizenship outside the Supreme Court in

Supreme Court in birthright case limits judges' power to block presidential policies

(Reuters3mon) WASHINGTON, June 27 (Reuters) - The U.S. Supreme Court handed President Donald Trump a major victory on Friday in a case involving birthright citizenship by curbing the ability of judges to impede his

Supreme Court in birthright case limits judges' power to block presidential policies

(Reuters3mon) WASHINGTON, June 27 (Reuters) - The U.S. Supreme Court handed President Donald Trump a major victory on Friday in a case involving birthright citizenship by curbing the ability of judges to impede his

Supreme Court allows Trump to proceed with mass firings at Education Department

(CNN2mon) The Supreme Court on Monday said President Donald Trump may proceed with his plan to carry out mass layoffs at the Department of Education in the latest win for the White House at the conservative

Supreme Court allows Trump to proceed with mass firings at Education Department

(CNN2mon) The Supreme Court on Monday said President Donald Trump may proceed with his plan to carry out mass layoffs at the Department of Education in the latest win for the White House at the conservative

Trade whiplash: Appeals Court allows Trump to keep tariffs while appeal plays out (USA

Today4mon) An appeals court ruled President Donald Trump can continue to levy tariffs while challenging a court order that had blocked them, a quick reversal that allows Trump to keep wielding his trademark

Trade whiplash: Appeals Court allows Trump to keep tariffs while appeal plays out (USA

Today4mon) An appeals court ruled President Donald Trump can continue to levy tariffs while challenging a court order that had blocked them, a quick reversal that allows Trump to keep wielding his trademark

Related Articles

- [fire and emergency services instructor](#)
- [chevrolet factory service manual](#)
- [history of military uniforms](#)

[Back to Home](#)